

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,625	08/28/2003	Yuichi Seki	03500.017542.	5548
5514 7.	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER	VANNUCCI, JAMES		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AK		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/649,625	SEKI, YUICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jim Vannucci	2828			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	9SS		
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	ugust 2003.				
·	,—	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ( drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •		
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	age		
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 1-22-04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seki et al.(6,560,256) in view of Abe(6,757,311).

Claim 1, figure 1 of Seki discloses a multi-laser device with a first laser chip(1a), a second laser chip(1b), and a common back beam sensor(1c) for the first and second laser chips.

Seki does not disclose using laser chips from the same lot, the sensor being a back beam sensor or a package containing the lasers and the sensor.

Figure 5A of Abe discloses using laser chips that are produced from one and the same wafer lot. Figure 8A of Abe discloses a package containing laser chips(14a) and a sensor that is a back beam sensor(12).

Claim 4, figure 6 of Seki discloses a multi-laser device that is used in an electrophotographic apparatus and image-exposes a charged photosensitive member (62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the above referenced elements of Abe in the device disclosed in Seki for improved reliability as disclosed in Abe(abstract).

3. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seki in view of Abe as applied above, and further in view of McCaul et al.(5,625,189).

Seki and Abe do not disclose a wavelength difference tolerance for the disclosed lasers.

Claim 2, figure 2 of McCaul discloses operating a diode laser in a range of +/- 1.2 nm to obtain a highly monochromatic radiation source(col. 6, lines 33-37).

Claim 3, a positional accuracy for light emitting points of the laser chips as recited can be obtained given the temperature control disclosed in McCaul(fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to operate the lasers disclosed in Seki and Abe in a narrow wavelength range so less power is needed by the laser as disclosed in McCaul(abstract).

## Correspondence

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Vannucci whose phone number is (571) 272-1820.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such

Application/Control Number: 10/649,625

Art Unit: 2828

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

James Vannucci

Page 4